

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION

CASE NO.:

HOWARD COHAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF SOUGHT

ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA OF  
PALM BEACH GARDENS, LLC,  
a Florida Limited Liability Company,  
ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA OF  
KENDALL, LLC,  
a Florida Limited Liability Company;  
ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA OF  
NORTH LAUDERDALE LLC,  
a Florida Limited Liability Company,

Defendant(s).

/

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, HOWARD COHAN (“Plaintiff”), by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint and sues ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA OF PALM BEACH GARDENS, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company, ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA OF KENDALL, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company, and ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA OF NORTH LAUDERDALE LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company (“Defendants”), for declaratory and injunctive relief, attorneys’ fees, expenses and costs (including, but not limited to, court costs and expert fees) pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et. seq., and the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”) and alleges as follows:

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This Court is vested with original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 343 for Plaintiff's claims arising under Title 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et. seq., based on Defendants' violations of Title III of the ADA. *See also*, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202, as well as the 2010 ADA Standards.

2. Venue is proper in this Court, West Palm Beach Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(B) and Internal Operating Procedures for the United States District Court For the Southern District of Florida in that major events giving rise to the lawsuit occurred in Palm Beach County, Florida.

## **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff, HOWARD COHAN is *sui juris* and is a resident of the State of Florida residing in Palm Beach County, Florida.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendants are the lessees, operators, owners and lessors of the Real Property, which are subject to this suit, and are located at

- a. 2680 PGA Boulevard, Palm Beach Gardens, Florida, 33410 ("ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA PGA"),
- b. 1580 North Federal Highway, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, 33304 ("ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA FLL"), and
- c. 12502 SW 88th Street, Kendall, Florida 33186 ("ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA KENDALL"),

("Premises") and are the owners of the improvements where Premises are located.

5. Defendants are authorized to conduct, and are in fact conducting, business within the state of Florida.

6. Plaintiff is an individual with numerous permanent disabilities including severe spinal stenosis of the lumbar spine with spondylolisthesis; severe spinal stenosis of the cervical spine with nerve root compromise on the right side; a non-union fracture of the left acromion (shoulder); a labral tear of the left shoulder; a full thickness tear of the right rotator cuff; a right knee medial meniscal tear; a repaired ACL and bilateral meniscal tear of the left knee; and severe basal joint arthritis of the left thumb. The above listed permanent disabilities and symptoms cause sudden onsets of severe pain and substantially limit Plaintiff's major life activities. As a result of these medical conditions, Plaintiff suffers body weakness, mobility limitations, abnormal gate, and abnormal balance. Additionally, his ability to lift, reach, bend, stretch and twist are substantially restricted.

7. At the time of Plaintiff's visit to the Premises on

- a. May 10, 2024 (ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA PGA),
- b. July 12, 2024 (ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA KENDALL), and
- c. August 23, 2024 (ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA FLL),

(and prior to instituting this action), Plaintiff suffered from a "qualified disability" under the ADA, and required the use of fully accessible restrooms, fully accessible service and eating areas, and fully accessible paths of travel throughout the facility. Plaintiff personally visited the Premises but was denied full and equal access and full and equal enjoyment of the facilities and amenities within the Premises, even though he would be classified as a "bona fide patron".

8. Plaintiff, in his individual capacity, will absolutely return to the Premises and avail himself of the services offered when Defendants modify the Premises or modify the policies and practices to accommodate individuals who have physical disabilities.

9. Plaintiff is continuously aware of the violations at Defendants' Premises and is aware that it would be a futile gesture to return to the Premises as long as those violations exist, and Plaintiff is not willing to suffer additional discrimination.

10. Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, direct and indirect injury as a result of Defendants' discrimination until Defendants are compelled to comply with the requirements of the ADA. Plaintiff's abnormal medical conditions and resulting disabilities as listed in the Counts below of this Complaint required the use of fully accessible restrooms, fully accessible service and eating areas, and fully accessible paths of travel throughout the facility, and otherwise affects Plaintiff's ability to enjoy places of public accommodation such as the Premises as further detailed throughout this Complaint.

11. Plaintiff would like to be able to be a patron of the Premises in the future and be able to enjoy the goods and services that are available to the able-bodied public but is currently precluded from doing so as a result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct as described herein. Plaintiff will continue to be precluded from using the Premises until corrective measures are taken at the Premises to eliminate the discrimination against persons with physical disabilities.

12. Plaintiff lives in and frequently travels throughout the Palm Beach County area. During these trips, he visits restaurants, bars, shops, hotels gas stations, entertainment venues and any other places of public accommodation in the area. In this area, Plaintiff has numerous friends, family members, as well as the office of his undersigned counsel, Gregory Sconzo, which all require frequent visits to the area.

13. In addition to residing in South Florida, Plaintiff frequently travels throughout Broward and Miami-Dade Counties. During these trips he visits restaurants, bars, shops, hotels, gas stations, entertainment venues, and any other places of public accommodation in the area. In

the Broward County area, Plaintiff has numerous friends, family members, as well as the office of his legal counsel, Jason Weiss, which all require frequent visits to the area. In the Miami area, Plaintiff also has friends and family and is a season ticket holder of sporting events in the Miami area.

14. Plaintiff intends return to the area where the Premises are located, as he has on countless occasions previously, and as he will on countless occasions in the future.

15. Completely independent of the personal desire to have access to this place of public accommodation free of illegal barriers to access, Plaintiff also acts as a “tester” for the purpose of discovering, encountering and engaging discrimination against the disabled in public accommodations. When acting as a “tester”, Plaintiff employs a routine practice which was employed in this matter. Plaintiff personally visits the public accommodation, as Plaintiff did here and engages all of the barriers to access, or at least of those that Plaintiff is able to access. Plaintiff tests all of those barriers to access to determine whether and the extent to which they are illegal barriers to access. Plaintiff then proceeds with legal action to enjoin such discrimination. Upon resolution of the action and an agreement by the defendant to fix the barriers to access, Plaintiff will subsequently return to the premises to verify its compliance or non-compliance with the ADA and to otherwise use the public accommodation as members of the able-bodied community are able to do. If the premises is not in compliance upon his return, Plaintiff has repeatedly brought breach of contract actions against the defendants for their failures to fix the barriers to access. In some instances, Plaintiff has even filed four and five separate actions against the same premises so as to ensure that they finally comply with the ADA.

16. Plaintiff fully intends and will return to these Premises in this tester capacity and will pursue his routine practice of ensuring compliance. Independent of his personal reasons for

subsequent visits to theses Premises as outlined above, Plaintiff intends to visit the Premises regularly to verify its compliance or non-compliance with the ADA, and its maintenance of the accessible features of Premises.

17. In this instance, Plaintiff, in Plaintiff's individual capacity and as a "tester", visited Premises, encountered barriers to access at Premises, and engaged and tested those barriers, suffered legal harm and legal injury, and will continue to suffer such harm and injury as a result of the illegal barriers to access and the ADA violations set forth herein. It is Plaintiff's belief that said violations will not be corrected without Court intervention, and thus Plaintiff will suffer legal harm and injury in the near future.

18. Plaintiff, in his capacity as a tester, will absolutely return to the Premises when either Defendants enter into an agreement to modify the Premises and/or an agreement to modify the policies and practices to accommodate individuals who have physical disabilities or otherwise advises Plaintiff that the barriers to access and discriminatory policies have been removed. The purpose of said visit will be to confirm said modifications and policy changes have been completed in accordance with the requirements of the ADA.

#### **VIOLATIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT**

19. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges the allegations stated in paragraphs 1 through 18 above as if fully stated herein.

20. On July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et. seq. Commercial enterprises were provided one and a half (1.5) years from enactment of the statute to implement its requirements. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992, or January 26, 1993 if Defendant(s) have ten (10) or fewer

employees and gross receipts of \$500,000.00 or less. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

21. Congress found, among other things, that:

- a. some 43,000,000 Americans have one or more physical or mental disabilities, and this number shall increase as the population continues to grow older;
- b. historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities and, despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against disabled individuals continue to be a pervasive social problem, requiring serious attention;
- c. discrimination against disabled individuals persists in such critical areas as employment, housing, public accommodations, transportation, communication, recreation, institutionalization, health services, voting and access to public services and public facilities;
- d. individuals with disabilities continually suffer forms of discrimination, including outright intentional exclusion, the discriminatory effects of architectural, transportation, and communication barriers, failure to make modifications to existing facilities and practices. Exclusionary qualification standards and criteria, segregation, and regulation to lesser services, programs, benefits, or other opportunities; and,
- e. the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our country is justifiably famous and accosts

the United States billions of dollars in unnecessary expenses resulting from dependency and non-productivity.

42 U.S.C. § 12101(a)(1)-(3), (5) and (9).

22. Congress explicitly stated that the purpose of the ADA was to:

- a. provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
- b. provide clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities; and
- c. invoke the sweep of congressional authority, including the power to enforce the fourteenth amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of discrimination faced on a daily basis by people with disabilities.

U.S.C. § 12101(b)(1)(2) and (4).

23. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12182(7), 28 C.F.R. § 36.104 and the 2010 ADA Standards, Defendants' Premises is a place of public accommodation covered by the ADA by the fact it provides services to the general public and must be in compliance therewith.

24. Defendants have discriminated and continues to discriminate against Plaintiff and others who are similarly situated, by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations located at the Premises, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 and 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et. seq., and by failing to remove architectural barriers pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

25. Plaintiff has visited Premises and has been denied full and safe access to the facilities and therefore suffered an injury in fact.

26. Plaintiff would like to return and enjoy the goods and/or services at Premises on a spontaneous, full and equal basis. However, Plaintiff is precluded from doing so by the Defendants' failure and refusal to provide disabled persons with full and equal access to their facilities. Therefore, Plaintiff continues to suffer from discrimination and injury due to the architectural barriers that are in violation of the ADA.

27. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. § 12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice, Officer of the Attorney General promulgated Federal Regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA. *See* 28 C.F.R. § 36 and its successor the 2010 ADA Standards ADA Accessibility guidelines (hereinafter referred to as "ADAAG"), 28 C.F.R. § 36, under which said Department may obtain civil penalties of up to \$55,000.00 for the first violation and \$110,000.00 for and subsequent violation.

28. Based on a preliminary inspection of the Premises, Defendants are in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et. seq. and the 2010 American Disabilities Act Standards et. seq., and are discriminating against Plaintiff as a result of, *inter alia*, the following specific violations:

**I. ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA PGA**

**Bar and Bar Area**

- a. Providing counter heights exceeding 36 inches making it impossible to service a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 904, 904.4, 904.4.1, 904.4.2, 305 and 306.
- b. Failure to provide accessible seating for person(s) with a disability at a bar or adjacent table in the bar area, recreational area or a table area adjacent to a pool for food or beverage service, or at a computer work surface such as in a business

center, in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 902, 902.1, 902.2, 902.3, 305, 306 and/or §4.32.4 of the 1991 ADA Standards.

- c. Failure to provide seating for a person(s) with a disability that has the correct clear floor space for forward approach in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 902, 902.2, 305 and 306.
- d. Failure to provide a sufficient amount of seating when dining surfaces are provided for the consumption of food or drink for a person(s) with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 226, 226.1, 902, 305 and 306.

#### **Restaurant Seating Indoors**

- e. Failure to provide seating for a person(s) with a disability that has the correct clear floor space for forward approach in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 902, 902.2, 305 and 306.
- f. Failure to provide a sufficient amount of seating when dining surfaces are provided for the consumption of food or drink for a person(s) with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 226, 226.1, 902, 305 and 306.

#### **Men's Restroom Pathway**

- g. Providing pathways and or aisles that are too narrow in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 403, 403.1 and 403.5.1. (items stored in pathway width to restroom)

#### **Men's Restroom General**

- h. Providing a swinging door or gate with improper maneuvering clearance(s) due to a wall or some other obstruction in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 404, 404.1, 404.2, 404.2.4 and 404.2.4.1. (trash can)

- i. Providing a gate or door with a continuous opening pressure of greater than 5 lbs. exceeding the limits for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 404, 404.1, 404.2, 404.2.9 and 309.4.
- j. Failure to provide proper knee clearance for a person with a disability under a counter or sink element in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 306, 306.1 306.3, 606 and 606.2
- k. Failure to provide proper toe clearance for a person with a disability under a counter or sink element in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 306, 306.1, 306.2, 306.2.1, 606 and 606.2.
- l. Failure to provide the correct opening width for a forward approach into a lavatory (sink) in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 305, 305.7.1, 404, 605.3 and 606.2
- m. Providing sinks and/or countertops that are greater than the 34 inch maximum allowed above the finished floor or ground in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§606 and 606.3.
- n. Failure to provide mirror(s) located above lavatories or countertops at the proper height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 603 and 603.3.

**Men's Restroom Stall**

- o. Failure to provide a stall door handle on one or both sides of a stall door as required for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§309, 309.1, 309.2, 309.3, 309.4 and 308.

- p. Failure to provide grab bars at 33 inches minimum and 36 inches maximum above the finished floor measured to the top of the gripping surface in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 609, 609.4 and 609.7. (side and rear)
- q. Providing grab bars of improper horizontal length or spacing as required along the rear or side wall in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 604, 604.5, 604.5.1 and 604.5.2. (rear and side)
- r. Failure to provide sufficient clear floor space around a water closet without any obstructing elements in this space in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§4.22.3, 603, 603.2.3, 604, 604.3 and 604.3.1. (trash can in clear space)
- s. Failure to provide paper towel dispenser at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 606, 606.1 and 308.
- t. Failure to provide the correct spacing for a forward or parallel approach to an element due to a wall or some other obstruction in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 305 and 306. (trash can in approach to dispenser)
- u. Failure to provide soap dispenser at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 606, 606.1 and 308.
- v. Failure to provide mirror(s) located above lavatories or countertops at the proper height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 603 and 603.3.
- w. Failure to provide the proper insulation or protection for plumbing or other sharp or abrasive objects under a sink or countertop in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 606 and 606.5.

- x. Failure to provide a coat hook that was previously positioned properly but is no longer in place for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 603, 603.4 and 308. (missing hook)

#### **Outdoor Restaurant Seating**

- y. Failure to provide seating for a person(s) with a disability that has the correct clear floor space for forward approach in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 902, 902.2, 305 and 306.
- z. Failure to provide a sufficient amount of seating when dining surfaces are provided for the consumption of food or drink for a person(s) with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 226, 226.1, 902, 305 and 306.
  - aa. Failure to provide a sufficient dispersion of seating thought the facility when dining surfaces are provided for the consumption of food or drink for a person(s) with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 226, 226.2, 902, 305 and 306.

## **II. ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA FLL**

#### **Outdoor Seating**

- a. Failure to provide seating for a person(s) with a disability that has the correct clear floor space for forward approach in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 902, 902.2, 305 and 306.
- b. Failure to provide a sufficient amount of seating when dining surfaces are provided for the consumption of food or drink for a person(s) with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 226, 226.1, 902, 305 and 306.

**Bar & Bar Area**

- c. Providing counter heights exceeding 36 inches making it impossible to service a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 904, 904.4, 904.4.1, 904.4.2, 305 and 306. Failure to provide accessible seating for person(s) with a disability at a bar or adjacent table in the bar area, recreational area or a table area adjacent to a pool for food or beverage service, or at a computer work surface such as in a business center, in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 902, 902.1, 902.2, 902.3, 305, 306 and/or §4.32.4 of the 1991 ADA Standards.
- d. Failure to provide seating for a person(s) with a disability that has the correct clear floor space for forward approach in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 902, 902.2, 305 and 306.
- e. Failure to provide a sufficient amount of seating when dining surfaces are provided for the consumption of food or drink for a person(s) with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 226, 226.1, 902, 305 and 306.

**Restaurant Seating**

- f. Failure to provide seating for a person(s) with a disability that has the correct clear floor space for forward approach in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 902, 902.2, 305 and 306.
- g. Failure to provide a sufficient amount of seating when dining surfaces are provided for the consumption of food or drink for a person(s) with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 226, 226.1, 902, 305 and 306.

h. Failure to provide a sufficient dispersion of seating thought the facility when dining surfaces are provided for the consumption of food or drink for a person(s) with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 226, 226.2, 902, 305 and 306.

**Unisex Restroom #1**

- i. Failure to provide proper signage for an accessible restroom or failure to redirect a person with a disability to the closest available accessible restroom facility in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 216, 216.2, 216.6, 216.8, 603, 703, 703.1, 703.2, 703.5 and 703.7.2.1.
- j. Providing a swinging door or gate with improper maneuvering clearance(s) due to a wall or some other obstruction in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 404, 404.1, 404.2, 404.2.4 and 404.2.4.1. (dispenser)
- k. Providing a gate or door with a continuous opening pressure of greater than 5 lbs. exceeding the limits for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 404, 404.1, 404.2, 404.2.9 and 309.4.
- l. Failure to provide sufficient clear floor space around a water closet without any obstructing elements in this space in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§4.22.3, 603, 603.2.3, 604, 604.3 and 604.3.1. (trash can)
- m. Failure to provide a coat hook within the proper reach ranges for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 603, 603.4 and 308.
- n. Failure to provide soap dispenser at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 606, 606.1 and 308.
- o. Providing grab bars of improper horizontal length or spacing as required along the rear wall in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 604, 604.5, 604.5.1 and 604.5.2.

- p. Failure to provide the proper insulation or protection for plumbing or other sharp or abrasive objects under a sink or countertop in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 606 and 606.5.

**Unisex Restroom #2**

- q. Failure to provide proper signage for an accessible restroom or failure to redirect a person with a disability to the closest available accessible restroom facility in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 216, 216.2, 216.6, 216.8, 603, 703, 703.1, 703.2, 703.5 and 703.7.2.1.
- r. Providing a swinging door or gate with improper maneuvering clearance(s) due to a wall or some other obstruction in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 404, 404.1, 404.2, 404.2.4 and 404.2.4.1.
- s. Failure to provide sufficient clear floor space around a water closet without any obstructing elements in this space in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§4.22.3, 603, 603.2.3, 604, 604.3 and 604.3.1. (trash can)
- t. Failure to provide a coat hook within the proper reach ranges for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 603, 603.4 and 308.
- u. Failure to provide soap dispenser at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 606, 606.1 and 308.
- v. Failure to provide the proper insulation or protection for plumbing or other sharp or abrasive objects under a sink or countertop in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 606 and 606.5.
- w. Failure to provide paper towel dispenser at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 606, 606.1 and 308.

- x. Providing grab bars of improper horizontal length or spacing as required along the rear wall in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 604, 604.5, 604.5.1 and 604.5.2.
- y. Providing a gate or door with a continuous opening pressure of greater than 5 lbs. exceeding the limits for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 404, 404.1, 404.2, 404.2.9 and 309.4.

### **III. ANTHONY'S COAL FIRED PIZZA KENDALL**

#### **Bar and Bar Area**

- a. Failure to provide seating for a person(s) with a disability that has the correct clear floor space for forward approach in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 902, 902.2, 305 and 306.
- b. Failure to provide a sufficient amount of seating when dining surfaces are provided for the consumption of food or drink for a person(s) with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 226, 226.1, 902, 305 and 306.

#### **Men's Restroom General**

- c. Failure to provide proper signage for an accessible restroom or failure to redirect a person with a disability to the closest available accessible restroom facility in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 216, 216.2, 216.6, 216.8, 603, 703, 703.1, 703.2, 703.5 and 703.7.2.1. (access sign cannot be on the door)
- d. Failure to provide the proper insulation or protection for plumbing or other sharp or abrasive objects under a sink or countertop in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 606 and 606.5.

- e. Failure to provide the correct spacing for a forward or parallel approach to an element due to a wall or some other obstruction in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 305 and 306. (trash in approach to dispenser – dryer)
- f. Providing a gate or door with a continuous opening pressure of greater than 5 lbs. exceeding the limits for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 404, 404.1, 404.2, 404.2.9 and 309.4.

**Men's Restroom Accessible Stall**

- g. Failure to provide sufficient clear floor space around a water closet without any obstructing elements in this space in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§4.22.3, 603, 603.2.3, 604, 604.3 and 604.3.1. (trash can)
- h. Failure to provide toilet paper dispensers in the proper position in front of the water closet or at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 604, 604.7 and 309.4.
- i. Failure to provide the proper insulation or protection for plumbing or other sharp or abrasive objects under a sink or countertop in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 606 and 606.5.
- j. Failure to provide operable parts that are functional or are in the proper reach ranges as required for a person with a disability in violation of 2010 ADAAG §§ 309, 309.1, 309.3, 309.4 and 308. (stall door)

29. To the best of Plaintiff's belief and knowledge, Defendants have failed to eliminate the specific violations set forth in paragraph 28 herein.

30. Although Defendants are charged with having knowledge of the violations, Defendants may not have actual knowledge of said violations until this Complaint makes Defendants aware of same.

31. To date, the readily achievable barriers and other violations of the ADA still exist and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA.

32. As the owner, lessor, lessee or operator of the Premises, Defendants are required to comply with the ADA. To the extent the Premises, or portions thereof, existed and were occupied prior to January 26, 1992, the owner, lessor, lessee or operator has been under a continuing obligation to remove architectural barriers at the Premises where removal was readily achievable, as required by 28 C.F.R. §36.402.

33. To the extent the Premises, or portions thereof, were constructed for occupancy after January 26, 1993 the owner, lessor, lessee or operator of the Premises was under an obligation to design and construct such Premises such that it is readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, as required by 28 C.F.R. §36.401.

34. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses paid by Defendants, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205.

35. All of the above violations are readily achievable to modify in order to bring Premises or the Facility/Property into compliance with the ADA.

36. In instance(s) where the 2010 ADAAG standard does not apply, the 1991 ADAAG standard applies, and all of the violations listed in paragraph 28 herein can be applied to the 1991 ADAAG standards.

37. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is vested with the authority to grant Plaintiff's injunctive relief, including an order to alter the subject facility to make them readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA and closing the Subject Facility until the requisite modifications are completed.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

1. That this Court declares that Premises owned, operated and/or controlled by Defendants are in violation of the ADA;
2. That this Court enter an Order requiring Defendants to alter their facilities to make them accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;
3. That this Court enter an Order directing the Defendants to evaluate and neutralize their policies, practices and procedures toward persons with disabilities, for such reasonable time so as to allow the Defendants to undertake and complete corrective procedures to Premises;
4. That this Court award reasonable attorney's fees, all costs (including, but not limited to the court costs and expert fees) and other expenses of suit to the Plaintiff; and,
5. That this Court award such other and further relief as it may deem necessary, just and proper.

Dated October 18, 2024.

**Sconzo Law Office, P.A.**  
3825 PGA Boulevard, Suite 207  
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410  
Telephone: (561) 729-0940  
Facsimile: (561) 491-9459

By: /s/ Gregory S. Sconzo  
GREGORY S. SCONZO, ESQUIRE  
Florida Bar No.: 0105553  
SAMANTHA L. SIMPSON, ESQ.  
Florida Bar No.: 1010423  
**Primary Email:** greg@sconzolawoffice.com  
**Primary Email:** samantha@sconzolawoffice.com  
**Secondary Email:** perri@sconzolawoffice.com